Plaintiff, v. 13 CV 00610 JACQUELINE VARLOI GRACE, LTM BOOKS, LLC, Defendant. New York, N.Y. May 14, 2013 9:30 A.M. Before: HON. ANDREW J. PECK, Magistrate Jud APPEARANCES KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff Noble BY: KENNETH ERIC NOBLE LINKER SANDRA MISSAKIANN (via telephone) Attorney for Plaintiff Noble	VEH ONOD C	
MAHSA RAHMANI NOBLE, Plaintiff, v. 13 CV 00610 MACQUELINE VARLOI GRACE, LTM BOOKS, LLC, Defendant. New York, N.Y. May 14, 2013 9:30 A.M. Before: HON. ANDREW J. PECK, Magistrate Jud APPEARANCES MATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff Noble BY: KENNETH ERIC NOBLE LINKER SANDRA MISSAKIANN (via telephone) Attorney for Plaintiff Noble	NITED STATES DISTRICT C	COURT
v. 13 CV 00610 JACQUELINE VARLOI GRACE, LTM BOOKS, LLC, Defendant. New York, N.Y. May 14, 2013 9:30 A.M. Before: HON. ANDREW J. PECK, Magistrate Jud APPEARANCES KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff Noble BY: KENNETH ERIC NOBLE LINKER SANDRA MISSAKIANN (via telephone) Attorney for Plaintiff Noble JACQUELINE VAROLI GRACE		
v. 13 CV 00610 JACQUELINE VARLOI GRACE, LTM BOOKS, LLC, Defendant. New York, N.Y. May 14, 2013 9:30 A.M. Before: HON. ANDREW J. PECK, Magistrate Jud APPEARANCES KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff Noble BY: KENNETH ERIC NOBLE LINKER SANDRA MISSAKIANN (via telephone) Attorney for Plaintiff Noble JACQUELINE VAROLI GRACE	IAHSA RAHMANI NOBLE,	
JACQUELINE VARLOI GRACE, LTM BOOKS, LLC, Defendant. New York, N.Y. May 14, 2013 9:30 A.M. Before: HON. ANDREW J. PECK, Magistrate Jud APPEARANCES KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff Noble BY: KENNETH ERIC NOBLE LINKER SANDRA MISSAKIANN (via telephone) Attorney for Plaintiff Noble JACQUELINE VAROLI GRACE	Plaintiff	= - ,
BOOKS, LLC, Defendant. New York, N.Y. May 14, 2013 9:30 A.M. Before: HON. ANDREW J. PECK, Magistrate Jud APPEARANCES KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff Noble BY: KENNETH ERIC NOBLE LINKER SANDRA MISSAKIANN (via telephone) Attorney for Plaintiff Noble JACQUELINE VAROLI GRACE	V .	13 CV 00610
New York, N.Y. May 14, 2013 9:30 A.M. Before: HON. ANDREW J. PECK, Magistrate Jud APPEARANCES KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff Noble BY: KENNETH ERIC NOBLE LINKER SANDRA MISSAKIANN (via telephone) Attorney for Plaintiff Noble JACQUELINE VAROLI GRACE		LTM
New York, N.Y. May 14, 2013 9:30 A.M. Before: HON. ANDREW J. PECK, Magistrate Jud APPEARANCES KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff Noble BY: KENNETH ERIC NOBLE LINKER SANDRA MISSAKIANN (via telephone) Attorney for Plaintiff Noble JACQUELINE VAROLI GRACE	Defendant	: .
9:30 A.M. Before: HON. ANDREW J. PECK, Magistrate Jud APPEARANCES KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff Noble BY: KENNETH ERIC NOBLE LINKER SANDRA MISSAKIANN (via telephone) Attorney for Plaintiff Noble JACQUELINE VAROLI GRACE		New York, N.Y.
HON. ANDREW J. PECK, Magistrate Jud APPEARANCES KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff Noble BY: KENNETH ERIC NOBLE LINKER SANDRA MISSAKIANN (via telephone) Attorney for Plaintiff Noble JACQUELINE VAROLI GRACE		
Magistrate Jud APPEARANCES KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff Noble BY: KENNETH ERIC NOBLE LINKER SANDRA MISSAKIANN (via telephone) Attorney for Plaintiff Noble JACQUELINE VAROLI GRACE	efore:	
APPEARANCES KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff Noble BY: KENNETH ERIC NOBLE LINKER SANDRA MISSAKIANN (via telephone) Attorney for Plaintiff Noble JACQUELINE VAROLI GRACE	HOI	N. ANDREW J. PECK,
KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff Noble BY: KENNETH ERIC NOBLE LINKER SANDRA MISSAKIANN (via telephone) Attorney for Plaintiff Noble JACQUELINE VAROLI GRACE		Magistrate Judge
Attorneys for Plaintiff Noble BY: KENNETH ERIC NOBLE LINKER SANDRA MISSAKIANN (via telephone) Attorney for Plaintiff Noble JACQUELINE VAROLI GRACE		APPEARANCES
Attorney for Plaintiff Noble JACQUELINE VAROLI GRACE	Attorneys for Plain	ntiff Noble
	A GOLIEL THE MARCH TO COLOR	
	ACOUPLINE VAROLI GRACE	

1 (Case called; in open court) THE COURT: Be seated. 2 3 ALL: Good morning, Judge. 4 THE COURT: All right. Let me note for the record that Mr. Linker, who is here, is somebody I taught with at xxx 5 Cardozzo, for 10 years. 6 7 MR. LINKER: Approximately. THE COURT: Approximately 10 years. I'm not sure 8 9 whether anyone thinks that's a conflict, but in any event, you 10 know, the Court is doing this as a favor to the parties. 11 The Court has no jurisdiction. The case is closed and 12 dismissed. But, as I said in my endorsement, I'm willing to 13 help you try to hash out the settlement agreement. 14 Anyone have anything to say about Mr. Linker's 15 presence? MS. GRACE: I don't know why he is here, but I'll 16 17 trust that it is acceptable. I think it is a conflict, but if 18 we can get through this and stop wasting everyone's time, that would be fantastic. 19 20 THE COURT: All right. 21 Mr. Noble, anything you want to say in that regard? 22 MR. NOBLE: No, I'm happy -- I invited Mr. Linker. 23 I'm happy he is here. I think he will be able to help us 24 facilitate the resolution. 25 THE COURT: Could the attorney who is on the phone

1 please state her name. 2 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Sandra Missakiann. 3 THE COURT: And from what firm are you? 4 THE DEPUTY CLERK: My own firm. 5 THE COURT: All right. Okay, so I have got umpteen 6 markup agreements of the draft. Could somebody tell me which 7 version seems to be the most recent, and maybe hand it up? MS. GRACE: There are two versions, your Honor. 8 9 is a version that the Nobles had sent to me that I had marked 10 up, sent back, and all of the changes that I requested were 11 rejected and an additional, two additional, paragraphs were 12 then added to that draft. 13 MR. NOBLE: Your Honor, we can hand up the most 14 recent. 15 MS. GRACE: And then, second to that, I must -- and I 16 had a change. I suggested we use an agreement that a former 17 client of mine had her attorney draft that we executed and it 18 has been successful for several years. And I sent that to 19 Mahsa and, unfortunately, that was rejected. 20 So there are two versions, is the short version. 21 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Noble. 22 MR. NOBLE: I'm delighted to hand up the latest 23 version, your Honor. 24 THE COURT: All right. And let's see if we can 25 quickly cut through this. This, frankly, is one of the reasons

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I thought you didn't need anything other than the transcript. All right. Who's the blue, and who is the red on this version? MR. NOBLE: The blue represents the additions that Noble has offered. The red represents strike-outs from the last draft received from Ms. Graves. THE COURT: Okay. So let's just do it paragraph by paragraph. Frankly, you know, I'm doing this on the record, and we're just gonna go through it. You'll each tell me what you want, or don't want in a particular paragraph, where there is a dispute, I'll tell you my thoughts, and you'll accept them or you won't. MR. NOBLE: Thank you, your Honor. There are two points in paragraph 2, I would point The first one is, instead of two calendar dates, we have asked -- and the first point is not a big deal, we can get the check out within two days, or three business days, assuming it is not a Friday. What's your pleasure, Ms. Grace? THE COURT: MS. GRACE: The timing is fine. THE COURT: All right. So three business days it is. MR. LINKER: The second point, Judge, was a little bit

of a bigger point to us. We not only have a contract that we

have entered into with LTM Books --

1 THE COURT: But you have a settlement with Ms. Grace. 2 MR. NOBLE: Well, LTM Books -- we have a settlement 3 with both, your Honor. 4 THE COURT: I understand. She wants the check sent to 5 her. Why do you care? MR. NOBLE: The reason I care, is because LTM Books 6 7 has various stakeholders. They have creditors and equity holders. I don't want to get in the middle of some kind of 8 9 fraudulent transfer, any kind of impropriety allegation because 10 I'm trying to divert money around the corporation. That is not 11 what I have signed up for. 12 MS. GRACE: Excuse me, your Honor. 13 He has no information about LTM Books. That is 14 inaccurate. And he is making stuff up. 15 So he sued me personally. I want the check made out to me, personally. I don't see the problem with it. 16 17 MS. NOBLE: Can I just say something here? The reason 18 this has come up is because Ms. Grace, two years ago, asked me to offer her help from somebody that can invest in her company 19 20 because, financially, her company was going under. 21 MS. GRACE: Absolutely not. 22 MS. NOBLE: We don't know whether there are creditors 23 or not. This is based on that information. 24 MS. GRACE: That's inaccurate, your Honor. And these 25 are two different companies.

1	MS. NOBLE: No, it's not.
2	THE COURT: Okay. All right, we have got two
3	different LTM Books in the caption.
4	MS. GRACE: Your Honor, I cannot accept a check for
5	LTM Books, it has to be made payable to me.
6	THE COURT: Okay, what are the
7	MR. NOBLE: Is there a reason?
8	THE COURT: What do the transcripts say, does anybody
9	remember?
10	MR. NOBLE: The transcript said that this is being
11	settled on behalf of
12	THE COURT: Not on who it was on behalf of, who is the
13	money being given to.
14	MR. NOBLE: Did not say.
15	MS. GRACE: It to me.
16	MR. NOBLE: The transcript didn't say that, you may
17	have said that.
18	THE COURT: Okay, somebody want to help me. Where is
19	the dollar amount in the transcript?
20	MR. NOBLE: If I look on page 2, lines 20 and 21 are
21	the first references. It says 10,000 to Ms. Grace.
22	THE COURT: To Ms. Grace, a/k/a LTM Books, write the
23	check that way.
24	MR. NOBLE: Okay.
25	THE COURT: Okay?

1	MS. GRACE: What does that mean? Can I deposit it
2	into an account that is in my name?
3	THE COURT: It's in your name, you can do whatever you
4	can legally do.
5	MS. GRACE: That's important. Thank you.
6	MR. NOBLE: Your Honor, is it necessary well, would
7	the plaintiff like two checks, or one check?
8	MS. GRACE: Two please. Thank you.
9	MR. NOBLE: Okay.
10	THE COURT: Okay. So that paragraph is taken care of.
11	MR. NOBLE: Thank you, your Honor.
12	On paragraph 3, there are two points there.
13	The first point she has asked that it be without a any
14	warranty, except as specified in Section 6, that's okay with
14 15	warranty, except as specified in Section 6, that's okay with us.
15	us.
15 16	us. THE COURT: Okay.
15 16 17	us. THE COURT: Okay. MR. NOBLE: All right.
15 16 17 18	us. THE COURT: Okay. MR. NOBLE: All right. THE COURT: And the extra sentence
15 16 17 18 19	us. THE COURT: Okay. MR. NOBLE: All right. THE COURT: And the extra sentence MR. NOBLE: The extra sentence says it's a
15 16 17 18 19 20	us. THE COURT: Okay. MR. NOBLE: All right. THE COURT: And the extra sentence MR. NOBLE: The extra sentence says it's a reaffirmation that Ms. Grace
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	us. THE COURT: Okay. MR. NOBLE: All right. THE COURT: And the extra sentence MR. NOBLE: The extra sentence says it's a reaffirmation that Ms. Grace THE COURT: Called gilding the lily 12 times over.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	us. THE COURT: Okay. MR. NOBLE: All right. THE COURT: And the extra sentence MR. NOBLE: The extra sentence says it's a reaffirmation that Ms. Grace THE COURT: Called gilding the lily 12 times over. Any objections to the sentence?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	us. THE COURT: Okay. MR. NOBLE: All right. THE COURT: And the extra sentence MR. NOBLE: The extra sentence says it's a reaffirmation that Ms. Grace THE COURT: Called gilding the lily 12 times over. Any objections to the sentence? MS. GRACE: Yes, I object to it.

entire contract states that they granted rights, they are getting the rights back, and we made an agreement --

THE COURT: Are you going to claim any rights to the property? This is saying -- look, it may be over lawyering, but why fight about something that says it for the third time in the sixth different way, if it says it the same everywhere else. You're not going to claim ownership, control, or authority over any rights in the work, right?

MS. GRACE: I'm not going to claim any rights, but I want to be clear that I can only revert back to the Nobles, the rights that were given. And I think this actually goes beyond.

THE COURT: No, it says you're not going to claim anything. Doesn't say you are giving them anything. The prior sentence has the without "warranty." This is saying you will not make any claim for whatever reason. Doesn't say that you have any rights to give them, or anything else. It just says you won't say you have got any rights in the property, which you have agreed to.

MS. GRACE: If we change the word "or" to "per the publishing agreement," I'm in agreement with it.

MR. LINKER: If I may just add, if she had any claim, it would have been a compulsory counterclaim. And she settled the suit, so I think she really -- the substance should be acknowledging that.

THE COURT: What is the publishing agreement. Is that

a defined term here somewhere?

MR. NOBLE: It's in the first whereas clause. It is the contract that was nominally signed.

THE COURT: Yeah, well, that's one of the problems. That's the publication agreement.

MR. NOBLE: Correct.

THE COURT: This added language, why don't you end the word after "work." End that sentence after "work," and I think everybody will be happy.

MR. NOBLE: Your Honor --

MS. MISSAKIAM: Your Honor, may I add something with respect to the publishing agreement, and the reason why certain things have to be included in the settlement agreement that may appear to be additional language.

There is certain rights that were granted under the publishing agreement that are a little bit beyond what normally would be put into a publishing agreement. And it allows the publisher --

THE COURT: I'm sorry, I'm going to interrupt you because, now, I have read what is there in this extra sentence that isn't already contained in the first sentence of paragraph 3. I mean, really? The redundancy --

MR. NOBLE: Your Honor, I think that she is giving us everything she has. And I think the first sentence does that. We would like an affirmation that is clear to a layperson that

she is not going to claim a work --1 2 THE COURT: This isn't clear to a layperson. 3 MR. NOBLE: The last sentence I think is pretty clear. 4 She's not going to claim anything on the work under the 5 publishing agreement. 6 THE COURT: She won't agree. It is already in the 7 prior sentence. It is redundant. Eliminate it. Or you are going to fight to the Court of Appeals as to whether the case 8 9 can be reopened. I don't know what to tell you. 10 MS. NOBLE: Your Honor --11 THE COURT: No. It's redundant. 12 MR. NOBLE: Just one last point, your Honor. 13 I think what Ms. Grace is looking for from this Court 14 is guidance in terms of whether the language is overreaching, 15 inappropriate, or reasonable. 16 THE COURT: It is redundant. Therefore, it is not 17 reasonable. 18 So I think Ms. Grace is willing to leave this redundant sentence in, if it stops after the word "work." 19 20 it doesn't --21 MS. GRACE: No, I'm willing to accept it if it is per 22 the agreement. I think what the basic issue is here is there 23 is a lack of trust here. And that's what it comes down to. I

don't trust them any longer. And so it is stated in the first

sentence, and I'm not an attorney, and I don't understand what

24

they might be trying to get from that last sentence, and that's why I'm not comfortable with it.

MS. MISSAKIANN: If you --

MS. GRACE: Excuse me, I'm speaking.

I'm comfortable -- I'm comfortable with the first sentence being in there, I think it's comprehensive and addresses everything that they need. And I understand it and I'm comfortable with it. But I'm not comfortable having it stated again, because there is a lack of trust, and I think they are asking for something that could be trapping me and I don't want to be in that situation.

THE COURT: It's not a trap. They're saying it in a redundant fashion, that you are not going to claim any interest in the work or publication agreement, which you agree to.

MS. GRACE: So strike it, it is redundant, let's move on.

MS. MISSAKIANN: The issue, your Honor, is with respect to derivative work, to be quite specific.

THE COURT: Okay, but why doesn't the prior sentence take care of that? And, trust me, if you are trying to write something for the layperson, this settlement agreement is not it.

MS. MISSAKIANN: Well, unfortunately, it is not to the layperson, because the contract, in and of itself, was broad and overreaching itself. So it had to be a little bite more

specific. 1 There are --2 THE COURT: Ms. Missakiann, you are missing my point. 3 Read the prior sentence of paragraph 3. 4 MS. MISSAKIANN: Yes. 5 THE COURT: It says: Ms. Grace and LTM Books assigned, 6 et cetera, et cetera, irrevocably, blah, blah, blah, all of 7 their rights to the work or the publication agreement. MS. NOBLE: I understand, your Honor. There is also 8 9 rights that -- there are also rights that Grace could have 10 exercised and controlled on her own that are derived from the 11 publishing agreement --12 MS. GRACE: No. 13 MS. NOBLE: -- which she could have claimed sole 14 ownership of. We don't know if she did that --15 THE COURT: I have no idea what you are talking about. Obviously, this draft went out the first time without that 16 17 clause --18 MS. GRACE: I think what you need, Sandy, is an assurance from me that I didn't license the rights, which is 19 20 acceptable. And I will agree to that. I did not license the 21 rights. I'm happy to put that in. And that will solve your 22 derivative -- the agreement itself -- the agreement itself 23 is -- the agreement itself is actually a standard agreement 24 used by two other very large book publishers. 25

THE COURT: Okay. So I take it we are going to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

eliminate this last sentence, and put in a representation, if it's not already here, that Ms. Grace did not license any right to the work to anybody else. I would also strongly suggest that somebody carefully proofread. And everywhere it says "publishing agreement" refer to publication agreement. As in three lines from the bottom of paragraph 3. MR. NOBLE: We'll do a global change, thank you, your Honor. I thought the resolution on paragraph 3 was to take out the "work." THE COURT: No. Come on, listen to your copyright lawyer. The whole last sentence is going. And in the reps and warranties, there will be a rep and warranty that she hasn't licensed or conveyed any rights. That's what I thought I heard Ms. Missakiann just agree to. MS. MISSAKIANN: And also, your Honor, I didn't necessarily agree to just that language. She has the ability to, also, under the agreement, create her own derivative work. So it's not just a license. It's also the creation of derivative work. And when we get to the xxx reps and warranties, we can go through that but --THE COURT: Here's the first thing we're gonna do. And that is, all parties here on the 10:00?

A VOICE: Yes, your Honor.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
THE COURT: Well, in four minutes, we'll take you.
        Okay. So in paragraph 3, "publication," replaces
"publishing." The red language "without warranty" is in, the
last sentence is out.
        We now go over to page 2.
        MR. NOBLE: There three things happening in --
        THE COURT: Well, let's take care of paragraph 5.
        MR. NOBLE: We can take out that last insert, your
Honor.
        THE COURT: Good. Done.
        MR. NOBLE: Unless -- Sandy, unless I am screwing
something up.
        MS. MISSAKIANN: Nope.
        MR. NOBLE: On paragraph 6, there are three things
happening here.
        THE COURT:
                   Yeah.
        MR. NOBLE: In paragraph C, third line down where it
talks about including, it's --
        THE COURT: Right.
        MR. NOBLE: Expands on what was there in terms of just
other words around it.
         Second thing is paragraph D, where it talks about
converting rights.
         THE COURT: Now, I think there are problems in your
draft in here.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. NOBLE: In the sentence that C, D, and E should only relate to Grace? Well, you know --THE COURT: MR. NOBLE: Which is correct, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. But you know, how many times are we going to re-do this agreement. This is supposed to be a final --MR. NOBLE: Yeah, we're going to have a little clean-up when we're done with this to reflect the changes, and that will be it. THE COURT: Well, but you know between the transcript and whatever -- look, as far as I'm concerned, fine, the Nobles can --MR. NOBLE: She's not in a position to make those reps. Those are reps that --THE COURT: Okay, but you know, with all due respect, you are the lawyer here. MR. NOBLE: I know. THE COURT: You have a copyright lawyer. You have a senior litigator accompanying you today. And now you're telling me that, yeah, I'm right, that this is lousily drafted. Because it's making a rep on behalf of Ms. Noble that you say she can't make. Now, frankly, I don't care but --MR. NOBLE: Okay, good.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
But that's what my copyright lawyer has pointed out to
me yesterday, quite frankly, that I --
         THE COURT:
                    Where has she been, or where have you been
for last month?
         MR. NOBLE: Your Honor --
                            So, all right, any problem with --
         THE COURT:
                    Okay.
let's take it one by one.
         Any problem with paragraph A which says you can
execute the agreement, Ms. Grace?
         MS. GRACE:
                    None.
         THE COURT:
                    Good.
         B, they just took some extra language out; is that
okay?
         MS. GRACE:
                    Yes.
         THE COURT:
                    Good.
         C, is what you just talked about, that you have not
licensed or whatever. Is that acceptable.
         MS. GRACE:
                   Correct, yes.
         THE COURT:
                    D, which is --
         MS. GRACE:
                    I don't --
         THE COURT: -- more of the same, that you haven't done
anything with the work that would deprive you of the right to
give it back to them, right, any problem with the language --
         MS. GRACE:
                    I just need a minute to reread that.
         THE COURT:
                     Yeah.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. GRACE: Can you just tell me what this part about "to their own possession or control or turned to account or otherwise, " what does that mean? THE COURT: Mr. Noble, or Ms. Missakiann, do you want to explain it? MS. MISSAKIANN: Ms. Grace, where are you? Second line of paragraph D. MS. GRACE: THE COURT: Six D. I also note 2 typos in the fourth line. I assume that the word "no no," should be "now known." MS. MISSAKIANN: What this means, basically, is that you were granted certain rights under the contract, that you have not created any sort of -- "xxx turned to account" essentially means that you -- you have received some sort of consideration for the exercise of those rights and that this is the representation that you have not done that. And that you have not exploited any of the rights that were granted to you, by any means. Because in this now known or hereafter devised language tracks the language that is in your contract. So much of this actually does track the language that is in your contract. So it is just a reiteration of what was granted and now it's being -- you're representing that it did not do it, using the same language. That's what that whole paragraph basically does.

MS. GRACE: If you change the first line to "they have

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

not converted any rights granted in the publishing agreement," I'm fine with the rest of it. MS. MISSAKIANN: It doesn't really make sense, the rights are in the works. The work is the --MS. GRACE: You can say "rights to the work in the publishing agreement." MS. MISSAKIANN: There are no rights in the publishing agreement. The rights are in the work. THE COURT: Put that phrase after "the rights related to the work." MR. NOBLE: Okay. THE COURT: Okay? Good. Any problem with that? And E. MS. GRACE: Can you just distill this for me? So it's basically saying there are no legal actions against the work, or the publishing agreement at this time. Is that correct? MS. MISSAKIANN: And you have not pledged the work or the publishing agreement as any collateral, there are no debts against it, or liens against it, or anything that were the rights that were conveyed to you under the publishing --MS. GRACE: That's fine. THE COURT: Okay. Let's see if we can -- I think we're almost done. MS. MISSAKIANN: Can I just ask you a question.

```
we separating these representations out?
1
 2
               MR. NOBLE:
                          Yes.
 3
               THE COURT: Yes.
 4
               MS. MISSAKIANN:
                               Okay.
 5
               THE COURT: So these will be Ms. Grace's
6
      representations. And Ms. Noble's representation will be with
 7
      respect to paragraphs A and B only.
8
               MS. MISSAKIANN:
                               Okay.
9
               THE COURT: Right?
10
               MR. NOBLE:
                          Right.
11
               THE COURT: Everyone agree?
12
               MS. GRACE: Yes.
13
               THE COURT:
                          Okay. Paragraph 7.
14
               MR. NOBLE: Next page, top of page 3. I thought it
15
     was clean-up.
               MS. GRACE: So just would like to put claimants
16
17
      against. It is just about divulging the information in the
18
      agreement, so I would --
19
               THE COURT: I'm not sure who the claimants against
20
      anybody are. What does that mean?
21
               MS. GRACE: I'm going through a divorce. If I need to
22
     reveal this to --
23
                          I think you have that where it says as
24
      otherwise required.
25
               MS. GRACE:
                          But what if it's --
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
MR. NOBLE: If you're legally required to show your
ex-husband, you are entitled to --
        MS. GRACE:
                    If he requests it. He is not an attorney,
right?
        MR. NOBLE:
                    He is not an attorney.
         THE COURT: Why don't you make it specific.
                                                      Instead
of claimants against, put in a reference to Ms. Grace's husband
or ex-husband.
        MR. NOBLE:
                    Is he current or ex right now?
        MS. GRACE: He is current.
        THE COURT:
                    Okay.
        MR. NOBLE: All right.
        On paragraph D, I took out that sentence because I was
not sure the Court had jurisdiction.
         THE COURT: The Court doesn't have jurisdiction.
once you have all finally approved and signed this, which it
looks like you now can, I only have to look at the short form
assignment. Otherwise, I think we're done with the agreement.
         I take it exhibit A is going to be the underlying
publication agreement?
        MR. NOBLE:
                    Right.
         THE COURT:
                    Okay.
        MR. NOBLE:
                    Correct.
                    Okay. So short form assignment.
        THE COURT:
         Okay paragraph 1, there are two changes.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. NOBLE: I thought that was clean-up. And refers to the publishing agreement. MS. GRACE: I had wanted to delete paragraph 2. THE COURT: All right. Well, let's stick with paragraph 1 for a minute. there any problem? MS. GRACE: No. THE COURT: There is language added by the plaintiff side, and something taken out. MS. GRACE: I'm not sure why, to the extent versus as more particularly, I'm not quite sure why it would be changed or what the difference is. MR. NOBLE: We can live with. It's fine. THE COURT: Okay. So it will be to the extent set forth. Okay, paragraph 2. MR. NOBLE: Your Honor, this was an important point for us. If we have to defend the work or publication agreement later, so we need to be able to step in as a power of attorney. MS. MISSAKIANN: One additional note, your Honor. THE COURT: Hang on, hang on, hang on. All right. Well, it does seem that if you want to do this, it is at your expense. MR. NOBLE: Correct. THE COURT: Okay. But it doesn't say that.

seemed to be Ms. Grace's objection.

MR. NOBLE: So on the fifth line down, after the colon, you can put "at Noble' expense."

MS. GRACE: If you could just add a phrase saying that, indemnifying me for the costs, that I am not in any way responsible for the costs of such action, I would be comfortable with that.

MS. MISSAKIANN: If we are going to address indemnification, I would like all the reps and warranties to be indemnifiable.

THE COURT: We're not rewriting the agreement. If you didn't put it in before, it isn't coming in now.

MR. NOBLE: Your Honor, I'm happy --

THE COURT: In addition, with this paragraph, you can do it one of two ways.

If you have to bring any suit, it is presumably because Ms. Grace violated her agreement, otherwise she has no rights and you wouldn't need her. So this is redundant. So if you want your redundancy to be able to sue somebody in her name or her company name, then just put in the, you know, "at Noble's expense," and with full indemnity of Noble, by Noble of Ms. Grace. Or you leave it out. It seems very simple.

MS. GRACE: You know, it seems to me that once we sign this agreement, I don't have any rights. So if they find themselves in a lawsuit, I don't know why I would be involved.

MS. MISSAKIANN: This language just --

MS. GRACE: But, again, I'm fine with it, if they want to add that bit about the costs.

MS. MISSAKIANN: This will be at Noble's expense. And so that's not an issue. We do need the ability to have the assignable, this right assignable, if the rights are assignable, which I believe they are. And, you know, this is going to be, your Honor, and why this is in here, is that we're going to have to assign and represent the exact same things sometime in the future to third parties, so we are just tracking language that we're going to have to agree to ourselves. So we're going to have to —

THE COURT: I don't really understand how -- and you have, in the six redundancies in the settlement agreement, made it clear that Ms. Grace has zero rights in the work or the prior publication agreement, that she has represented and thereby breached the agreement if she has transferred any rights previously, I'm not sure why you need this.

MS. MISSAKIANN: I appreciate that. However, there is, and has been, instances where people make representations that they have not done something but, in fact, there is an unknown third party who has been a licensee of rights who, unknowingly, starts to exploit them. And to the extent that this becomes an issue we have to be able to stand in her place, yes, we would have a breach of contract suit against her, of

course, but that's not --1 2 THE COURT: In any event, since this is not an 3 academic exercise, but just getting you all to settle, put in 4 an extra sentence at the beginning or the end that the exercise 5 of these rights will be solely at Noble's expense, with full indemnification of Ms. Grace and LTM. 6 7 And that appears to satisfy Ms. Grace, yes? MS. GRACE: That's fine. 8 9 MR. NOBLE: Your Honor, I think that's fine. When we 10 talk about the indemnity, it should be subject to offset. 11 she has breached the agreement, it should be the only basis. 12 don't want to write her a check and try to collect something 13 back from her. 14 THE COURT: If you are bringing the suit, bringing it 15 in her name, you are paying for it. 16 MR. NOBLE: Yeah, which is fine. I don't want to 17 indemnify her for the costs when she owes me, based on a breach. 18 19 THE COURT: Then you don't need paragraph 2. You 20 can't do it both ways at the same time. 21 MS. GRACE: No. 22 THE COURT: You really can't. You can't be her for 23 purposes of suing somebody else, and then say --

THE COURT: -- even though I have agreed that I'm

24

25

MR. NOBLE:

Okay.

```
doing that at my expense --
1
 2
               MR. NOBLE:
                          Okay, your Honor.
 3
               THE COURT:
                          -- I'm going to charge her for it.
 4
               MR. NOBLE:
                          Okay.
 5
               THE COURT: Just doesn't work.
 6
               Good.
 7
               MR. NOBLE:
                          All right. And can we --
                          And can we all figure out which way
8
               THE COURT:
9
     Ms. Grace's name is spelled, so you can wrap that up. And I
10
      assume she knows better than you how her name is spelled?
11
               MR. NOBLE:
                           That's my assumption.
12
               THE COURT:
                           Okay. Good. I'm going to require the
13
      lawyer in the room, that is to say Mr. Noble -- or a lawyer --
14
      to purchase the transcript, from the court reporter.
               And with that, we are done. Get a clean copy that
15
      should conform exactly to what we have just done here. And if
16
17
      there is any doubt, the transcript makes it clear. And I am
18
      ordering the plaintiff's side to purchase the transcript and
      give a free copy to Ms. Grace.
19
20
                     Thank you, your Honor.
               ALL:
21
               THE COURT: Unless there is anything else, we're
22
      adjourned on this case.
23
               MS. GRACE: Thank you, your Honor.
24
               MS. MISSAKIANN:
                               Thank you.
```